# INTERPLAY OF $\gamma\text{-RIGID}$ AND $\gamma\text{-STABLE}$ COLLECTIVE MOTION IN NEUTRON RICH RARE EARTH NUCLEI

## <u>Radu Budaca</u> and Andreea-Ioana Budaca

IFIN-HH, Bucharest-Magurele, Romania



International Workshop "Shapes and Dynamics of Atomic Nuclei: Contemporary Aspects" (SDANCA-15)



8-10 October 2015, Sofia, Bulgaria

The classical Hamiltonian function of the liquid drop model has 5 degrees of freedom, namely the two shape variables  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  and the three Euler angles.

$$\mathcal{H} = \underbrace{\frac{B}{2} \left( \dot{\beta}^2 + \beta^2 \dot{\gamma}^2 \right)}_{T_{vib}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \omega_k^2 \mathcal{I}_k}_{T_{rot}} + V(\beta, \gamma). \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Bohr-Mottelson} \\ \text{Hamiltonian} \\ \text{after quantization} \end{array}$$

Imposing a certain value for the  $\gamma$  shape variable, one reaches the  $\gamma$ -rigid version of the collective model which is interesting by itself due to its description of the basic rotation-vibration coupling.

•  $\gamma \neq 0^{\circ} \Rightarrow 4$  degrees of freedom  $(\beta, \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) \Rightarrow$  Davydov-Chaban Hamiltonian Davydov & Chaban NP 20 (1960) 499

• 
$$\gamma = 0^{\circ} \Rightarrow 3$$
 degrees of freedom  $(\beta, \theta_1, \theta_2) \Rightarrow X(3)$ -type Hamiltonian

Bonatsos et. al. PLB 632 (2006) 238

Although the  $\gamma$ -rigidity hypothesis is somewhat crude it provides simple approaches to the successful reproduction of the relevant experimental data.

Budaca EPJA 50 (2014) 87, PLB 739 (2014) 86; Buganu & Budaca PRC 91 (2015) 014306, JPG 42 (2015) 105106;

The similarity between the  $\beta$  excited bands of the X(5) and X(3) solutions addresses the question about the importance of rigidity in explaining the critical collective phenomena.

#### INTERPLAY BETWEEN $\gamma$ -STABLE AND $\gamma$ -RIGID COLLECTIVE MOTION

The kinetic energy operator  $\hat{T}_{vib} + \hat{T}_{rot}$  in the five-dimensional shape phase space

$$T_s = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2B} \left[ \frac{1}{\beta^4} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \beta^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} + \frac{1}{\beta^2 \sin 3\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \sin 3\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} - \frac{1}{4\beta^2} \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{Q_k^2}{\sin^2 \left(\gamma - \frac{2}{3}\pi k\right)} \right]$$

In the prolate  $\gamma\text{-rigid}$  regime defined only by three degrees of freedom, the same operator gets a simpler form

$$T_r = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2B} \left[ \frac{1}{\beta^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \beta^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} - \frac{\mathbf{Q}^2}{3\beta^2} \right]$$

The interplay between  $\gamma$ -stable and  $\gamma$ -rigid collective motion is achieved by considering the Hamiltonian:

$$H = \chi T_r + (1 - \chi)T_s + V(\beta, \gamma), \quad 0 \leqslant \chi < 1 \quad \text{Imaginary regions}$$
Budaca & Budaca JPG **42** (2015) 085103

<ロト <回ト < 三ト < 三ト < 三 のへの</p>

 $\beta$  variable is separated from the  $\gamma$ -angular ones if the potential have the structure

$$v(\beta,\gamma) = \frac{2B}{\hbar^2} V(\beta,\gamma) = u(\beta) + (1-\chi) \frac{u(\gamma)}{\beta^2}$$

Factorizing the total wave function as  $\Psi(\beta, \gamma, \Omega) = \xi(\beta)\varphi(\gamma, \Omega)$ , the associated Schrödinger equation is separated in two parts:

 $\gamma$ -angular equation

$$\left[ (1-\chi) \left( -\frac{1}{\sin 3\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \sin 3\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} + \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{Q_{k}^{2}}{4 \sin^{2} \left(\gamma - \frac{2}{3}\pi k\right)} + u(\gamma) \right) + \frac{\chi}{3} \mathbf{Q}^{2} \right] \varphi(\gamma, \Omega) = W \varphi(\gamma, \Omega)$$

Small angle approximation  $\Rightarrow u(\gamma) = (3a)^2 \frac{\gamma^2}{2}$ *a* - stiffness of  $\gamma$  oscillations

$$\begin{split} W &= 3a(1-\chi)(n_{\gamma}+1) + \frac{L(L+1) - (1-\chi)K^2}{3}, \ \ \varphi(\gamma,\Omega) = \eta(\gamma)D_{MK}^L(\Omega) \\ \eta_{n_{\gamma},|K|}(\gamma) &= N_{n,|K|}\gamma^{|K/2|}\exp\left(-3a\frac{\gamma^2}{2}\right)L_n^{|K/2|}(3a\gamma^2), \ \ n = \frac{1}{2}\left(n_{\gamma} - \left|\frac{K}{2}\right|\right) \end{split}$$

 $\beta$  equation

$$-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\beta^2} - \frac{2(2-\chi)}{\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta} + \frac{W}{\beta^2} + u(\beta)\bigg]\xi(\beta) = \epsilon\xi(\beta)$$

▲ロト ▲暦 ▶ ▲ 重 ▶ ▲ 重 ■ ● ● ●

Infinite square well (ISW) potential

Budaca & Budaca JPG 42 (2015) 085103

 $x_{s,\nu}$  is s-th zero of the Bessel function  $J_{\nu}(x_{s,\nu}\beta/\beta_W)$  and  $n_{\beta} = s - 1$ .

**Davidson (D) potential** 

Budaca & Budaca EPJA 51 (2015) 126

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > □ =

The full solution after proper normalization and symmetrization reads:

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{LMKn_{\beta}n_{\gamma}}(\beta,\gamma,\Omega) &= \xi_{L,K,n_{\beta},n_{\gamma}}(\beta)\eta_{n_{\gamma},|K|}(\gamma)\sqrt{\frac{2L+1}{16\pi^{2}(1+\delta_{K,0})}}\left[D_{MK}^{L}(\Omega) + (-)^{L}D_{M-K}^{L}(\Omega)\right] \\ \text{The }B(E2) \text{ rates are calculated with the quadrupole transition operator } T_{\mu}^{(E2)} &= t\beta q_{\mu} \end{split}$$

#### $\gamma$ -RIGID/STABLE COLLECTIVE SHAPE PHASE SPACE

An identical  $\beta$  differential equation for determining the energy of the system is obtained if one starts from the classical picture of LDM:

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{B}{2}\dot{\beta}^2 + (1-\chi)\frac{B}{2}\beta^2\dot{\gamma}^2 + (1-\chi)T_{rot}^{\gamma\neq 0} + \chi T_{rot}^{\gamma=0} + V(\beta,\gamma)$$

Due to its consistent geometrical construction, the LDM kinetic energy operator is given by a Laplacian in a generalized coordinate system  $x_m = (\beta, \gamma, \Omega)$ :

$$\hat{T} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\nabla^2 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\sum_{lm}\frac{1}{J}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}J\bar{g}^{lm}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^m}$$

where  $J = \sqrt{\det(g)}$  is the Jacobian of the transformation from the quadrupole coordinates

$$q_m(\beta,\gamma,\Omega) = \beta \left\{ D_{m0}^2(\Omega) \cos \gamma + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ D_{m2}^2(\Omega) + D_{m-2}^2(\Omega) \right] \sin \gamma \right\}.$$

to the curvilinear ones  $\{x^l\}$  defined by the metric tensor:

$$g_{lm} = \sum_{k} \frac{\partial q_k}{\partial x^l} \frac{\partial q_k}{\partial x^m}, \ \bar{g}^{lm} = \sum_{k} \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial q_l} \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial q_m}.$$

<ロト <回ト < 三ト < 三ト < 三 のへの</p>

$$\begin{array}{c} \bullet \quad \text{Bohr-Mottelson model} \\ (5 \text{ variables}) \\ g = B \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4\beta^2 \sin^2\left(\gamma - \frac{2\pi}{3}\right) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4\beta^2 \sin^2\left(\gamma - \frac{4\pi}{3}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4\beta^2 \sin^2\gamma \end{pmatrix}$$

• Axial 
$$\gamma$$
-rigid regime (3 variables)  

$$g = B \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3\beta^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3\beta^2 \sin^2 \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

Present case (5 variables) 
$$\hat{T} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \sum_{lm} \frac{1}{J} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l} J \bar{G}^{lm} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^m},$$

 $G_{lm} \text{ is a symmetric positive-definite bitensor not necessarily related to the metric $g_{lm}$. Prochniak & Rohozinski JPG 36 (2009) 123101$ 

Imbedding the  $\chi$  dependence in  $G_{lm}$ , one obtains after quantization a differential equation whose eigenfunction differs from the previous one by a factor  $\beta^{\chi}$ .



#### In order to have both model derivations equivalent

one must amend the integration measure in the quantum constructed model by the factor  $\beta^{-2\chi}$ . Budaca & Budaca EPJA 51 (2015) 126

#### **MODEL'S ANALYTICAL PROPERTIES**



The evolution as function of  $\chi$  and a of theoretically evaluated (with ISW potential) spectral observables such as  $R_{4/2} = E(4_g^+)/E(2_g^+)$  (a) ratio and the  $\beta$  (b) and  $\gamma$  (c) band heads normalized to the energy of the first excited state.



Bonatsos et. al. PLB 649 (2007) 394

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{ES-}X(5) & \xrightarrow{\chi} & X(3) \\ \chi = 0 & & \chi = 1 \end{array} \qquad \text{Bon}$ 

Bonatsos et. al. PLB 632 (2006) 238

  The low lying energy spectrum given as function of the rigidity parameter *χ*, for different values of the remaining parameters.



Bonatsos et. al. PRC 76 (2007) 064312



Budaca & Budaca EPJA (2015)

◆ロト ◆昼 ト ◆臣 ト ◆臣 ト ● ● ● ● ●

#### NUMERICAL APPLICATION

• • • Experimental ground,  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  band states

/ / / Theoretical ground,  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  band predictions with D (solid) and ISW (dashed)



States	14	18	12
$\sigma$	0.601	0.768 (0.567)	0.574
$\beta_0$	2.044	2.840	2.963
a	11.349	51.538 (168.899)	9.052
$\chi$	$3 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0.826 (0.948)	0.092

() - ISW fits

- $\bullet \bullet \bullet$  Experimental ground,  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  band states
  - Experimental  $\beta$  band states with uncertain asignment
- /// Theoretical ground,  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  band predictions with D (solid) and ISW (dashed)



Ŷ	0.423	0.067 (0.269)	0.734
a.	14.519	7.934 (10.309)	24,473
Bo	2.442	3,056	3.205
ρ0 σ	0.636	0.411 (0.845)	0.092
States	39	31	20
Oluico	00	51	

<ロト <回ト < 三ト < 三ト < 三 のへの</p>

ATA

Theoretical g-g E2 transition probabilities compared with the available experimental data and with the rigid rotor predictions.



- Experimental points are situated between the rigid rotor and present model's predictions.
- The data are closer to the rigid rotor limit in case of the Dy isotopes.
- While the only measurements for the Gd isotopes associated to <sup>158</sup>Gd are closer to the present calculations.

# TTY

Comparison of theoretical results with experiment and rigid rotor (R. R.) predictions for several interband E2 transition probabilities.

Nucleus	$\frac{2^+_\beta \rightarrow 2^+_g}{2^+_\beta \rightarrow 0^+_g}$	$\frac{2^+_\beta \rightarrow 4^+_g}{2^+_\beta \rightarrow 0^+_g}$	$\frac{\frac{2_{\gamma}^{+}\rightarrow2_{g}^{+}}{2_{\gamma}^{+}\rightarrow0_{g}^{+}}$	$\tfrac{2\frac{\gamma}{\gamma}\rightarrow4g^+}{2^+_{\gamma}\rightarrow0g^+}$
$^{158}$ Gd	0.25(6)	4.48(75)	1.76(26)	0.079(14)
D	1.93	6.01	1.46	0.077
$^{160}$ Gd			1.87(12)	0.189(29)
D	1.79	4.97	1.44	0.074
ISW	1.81	5.00	1.45	0.074
$^{162}$ Gd				
D	1.76	4.80	1.44	0.074
<sup>160</sup> Dy		2.52(44)	1.89(18)	0.133(14)
D	1.89	5.70	1.45	0.075
$^{162}$ Dy			1.78(16)	0.137(12)
Ď	1.75	4.70	1.44	0.073
ISW	1.84	5.18	1.45	0.074
<sup>164</sup> Dy			2.00(27)	0.240(33)
Ď	1.73	4.55	1.44	0.073
R.R.	1.43	2.57	1.43	0.071

 Experimental γ-g transitions rates are slightly underestimated.

 While β-g transitions rates are overestimated.

- Overall higher values than the R.R. predictions.
- Very weak dependence on the parameters for γ-g transitions.

P

Experimental evidences for the occurrence of  $^{160}$ Gd and  $^{162}$ Dy as singular points in their respective isotopic chains.



(a)  $\gamma$  band staggering

$$S(4) = \frac{E(4_{\gamma}) + E(2_{\gamma}) - 2E(3_{\gamma})}{E(2_g^+)}$$

(b) Relative spacing of the lowest states in the  $\beta$  band

$$R_{2\beta} = \frac{E(2_{\beta}^{+}) - E(0_{\beta}^{+})}{E(2_{g}^{+})}$$

#### **NEW PARAMETER FREE COLLECTIVE MODEL**

 $\beta$  equation for the spherical vibrator model with an energy dependent string constant:

$$\left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\beta^2} + \frac{(\tau+1)(\tau+2)}{\beta^2} + k(\epsilon)\beta^2\right]f(\beta) = \epsilon f(\beta), \ f(\beta) = \beta^2 F(\beta)$$

 $\Rightarrow$  quadratic equation for the energy of the system:

$$\epsilon = \sqrt{k(\epsilon)} \left( N + \frac{5}{2} \right), \quad N = 2n_{\beta} + \tau$$

if the simplest energy dependence  $k(\epsilon) = 1 + a\epsilon$  is chosen, which leads to

$$\epsilon_{N} = \left[ \left( N + \frac{5}{2} \right) \frac{a}{2} + \sqrt{1 + \left( N + \frac{5}{2} \right)^{2} \frac{a^{2}}{4}} \right] \left( N + \frac{5}{2} \right)$$
$$F_{n_{\beta}\tau}(\beta) = C_{n_{\beta}\tau} \left( \xi_{n_{\beta}\tau} \right)^{\tau} e^{-\frac{\left( \xi_{n_{\beta}\tau} \right)^{2}}{2}} L_{n_{\beta}}^{\tau + \frac{3}{2}} \left[ \left( \xi_{n_{\beta}\tau} \right)^{2} \right], \quad \xi_{n_{\beta}\tau} = \sqrt{1 + a\epsilon_{n_{\beta}\tau}} \beta$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□ ◆ ○ ◆

 Due to the energy dependence of the potential, the scalar product is modified as Formanek, Lombard, Mares, Czech J. Phys. 54 (2004) 289

$$\beta^4 d\beta \longrightarrow \left[1 - \underbrace{\frac{\partial v(\beta, \epsilon)}{\partial \epsilon}}_{=a\beta^2}\right] \beta^4 d\beta,$$

in order to satisfy the continuity equation.

 Not a coherent theory for a > 0 because is not positive definite.

$$\rho = \left[F_{n_\beta\tau}(\beta)\right]^2 \left(1 - a\beta^2\right)\beta^4$$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□ ◆ ○ ◆

Everything **OK** in the asymptotic limit of the a parameter, whose energy is described by a parameter free expression (except scale):

$$\epsilon_N = \left[ \left( N + \frac{5}{2} \right) \frac{a}{2} + \sqrt{1 + \left( N + \frac{5}{2} \right)^2 \frac{a^2}{4}} \right] \left( N + \frac{5}{2} \right) \xrightarrow{a \gg \frac{4}{5}} \epsilon_N = \frac{a}{2} \left( N + \frac{5}{2} \right)^2$$



Other suitable experimental realizations: <sup>104</sup>Pd and <sup>106</sup>Pd.

Budaca PLB (2015)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

200

E

### CONCLUSIONS

- A simple exactly separable model was constructed by taking the kinetic energy of the Bohr Hamiltonian as a combination of prolate γ-rigid and γ-stable rotation-vibration kinetic operators.
- The relative weight of these two components is managed through a so called rigidity parameter which bridges the X(3) and X(3)-D  $\gamma$ -rigid solutions to their  $\gamma$ -stable counterparts represented by ES-X(5) and ES-D models when an ISW and respectively Davidson potential in  $\beta$  is adopted.
- The model was successfully applied for the description of the collective spectra for few heavier isotopes of Gd and Dy. In both cases a critical nucleus was identified through a discontinuous behavior in respect to the rigidity parameter and relevant experimental observables.

#### The proposed hybrid formalism unveils

alternative features of the collective motion in the Gd and Dy isotopic chains which are known to undergo shape phase transitions.

ロン (部) (ヨ) (ヨ)

#### Quantum starting point

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\beta^2} - \frac{2(2-\chi)}{\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta} + \frac{W}{\beta^2} + u(\beta) \end{bmatrix} \xi(\beta) = \epsilon\xi(\beta)$$
  
$$\xi_{LKn_\beta n_\gamma}(\beta) = N_{n_\beta\nu}\beta^{p+\chi}e^{-\frac{\beta^2}{2}}L_n^{p+\frac{3}{2}}(\beta^2)$$
  
$$dV = \beta^{4-2\chi} |\sin 3\gamma| d\beta d\gamma d\Omega$$

Classical starting point

$$\begin{split} & \left[ -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \beta^2} - \frac{4}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} + \frac{W}{\beta^2} + u(\beta) \right] \xi(\beta) = \epsilon \xi(\beta) \\ & \xi_{LKn_\beta n_\gamma}(\beta) = N_{n_\beta \nu} \beta^p e^{-\frac{\beta^2}{2}} L_n^{p+\frac{3}{2}}(\beta^2) \\ & dV = \beta^4 \left| \sin 3\gamma \right| d\beta d\gamma d\Omega \end{split}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > □ =

590

When c = const. and  $\chi \rightarrow 1$  the associated  $\gamma$  probability distribution acquires a strongly localized maximum at a value which tends asymptotically to zero.

• Rigidity  $\chi$  and  $\gamma$  stiffness *a* are interrelated.

$$a \xrightarrow{\chi \to 1} \infty$$

(日) (四) (注) (注) (三) (三)

• • • Experimental ground,  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  band states

 $\circ \circ \circ$  Experimental ground,  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  band states with uncertain asignment

/ / Theoretical ground,  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  band predictions



$\chi$	0.948	0.269	0.848
a	168.899	10.309	41.191
$\sigma$	0.567	0.845	1.359
Nr. of states	19	32	24

▲ロト ▲母 ▶ ▲ 母 ▶ ▲ 母 ▶ ▲ 日 ▶ ● の < @



• The ground state  $\beta$  probability density in respect to the  $d\beta$  integration measure.