Nuclear isomers in intense electromagnetic fields

Adriana Pálffy

Max Planck Institute for Nuclear Physics, Heidelberg, Germany



SDANCA-15







Max Planck Institute in Heidelberg

Light-nuclei interaction (Theory)

Wen-Te Liao Jonas Gunst Xiangjin Kong Jörg Evers Hans A. Weidenmüller Christoph H. Keitel



Astroparticle physics

Quantum dynamics - interaction of laser light with matter

Max Planck Institute in Heidelberg

Light-nuclei interaction (Theory)

Wen-Te Liao Jonas Gunst Xiangjin Kong Jörg Evers Hans A. Weidenmüller Christoph H. Keitel





Astroparticle physics

Quantum dynamics - interaction of laser light with matter

Outline – what could one attempt with light and isomers?

Part 1. Isomer triggering with the X-ray Free Electron Laser (XFEL)

Part 2. Nuclear quantum optics with ²²⁹Th

Isomer triggering with the XFEL

Stronger XFEL excitation



Secondary nuclear processes become possible in the plasma environment:

- Secondary photoexcitation
- Coupling to the atomic shell

Nuclear excitation by electron capture - NEEC



Isomer triggering



Triggering mechanisms

- Photoexcitation
- Coulomb excitation
- NEEC

Partial level scheme of ${}^{93}_{42}Mo$

Typically, $\sigma_{neec} \simeq 100 \times \sigma_{ph}$ for low-lying triggering levels

Competition in the nuclear excitation process between

resonant XFEL photons – direct photoexcitation plasma electrons – NEEC

NEEC wins overhand as secondary process



NEEC cross sections, available electron energies and charge states in the plasma

J. Gunst, Y. Litvinov, C. H. Keitel and AP, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 082501 (2014)

NEEC wins overhand as secondary process



NEEC cross sections, available electron energies and charge states in the plasma

NEEC excitation 5 orders of magnitude larger than direct photoexcitation!!! J. Gunst, Y. Litvinov, C. H. Keitel and AP, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 082501 (2014)

NEEC wins overhand as secondary process



- Plasma expansion after pulse thermodynamical model
- Atomic processes included via FLYCHK code
- Time for NEEC much longer than XFEL pulse duration
- For Mo advantageous plasma parameters for NEEC
- Total rates still too small for experimental observation of isomer triggering in Mo

NEEC excitation 5 orders of magnitude larger than direct photoexcitation!!!

J. Gunst, Y. Wu, N. Kumar, C. H. Keitel and AP, arXiv: 1508.07264 (2015)

Nuclear quantum optics with ²²⁹Th

A possible nuclear frequency standard

THE SECOND



1967, hyperfine transition of 6s electron in the ¹³³Cs atom.

 $\sim 10^{-16}$ frequency uncertainty

ntelock ^{229m}Th, E=7.8 eV

NARROW TRANSITION WIDTHS

²²⁹Th $\Delta E/E \simeq 10^{-20}$

ISOLATION FROM ENVIRONMENT

- Better frequency standard
- Variation of fundamental constants
- Oscillator involving the strong force

fine structure constant, strong interaction parameter

Critical Problems-1 eV Uncertainty is Too Large



B. R. Beck, et. al, PRL. 98, 142501 (2007)

Critical Problems-Low Signal to Background Ratio

α induced spurious fluorescence (background)

(a) 0.3 photon/α decay
(b) ^{229g}Th lifetime 7880 yr
(c) 10^{18 229}Th/cm³

0.75 MHz in 4π



fluorescence (signal)

etector

229

VUV



W.-T. Liao, S. Das, C. H. Keitel and A. Pálffy, PRL 109, 262502 (2012)



NFS Time Spectrum



W.-T. Liao, S. Das, C. H. Keitel and A. Pálffy, PRL 109, 262502 (2012)



Coherence enhanced optical determination



W.-T. Liao, S. Das, C. H. Keitel and AP, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 262502 (2012)

Summary

Part 1. Isomer triggering with the XFEL



NEEC exotic nuclear excitation mechanism predominates in dense plasmas for small *E*

Part 2. Nuclear quantum optics with ²²⁹Th

coherence effects in ²²⁹Th useful to determine the nuclear transition frequency





joint efforts with PTB, TU Vienna, TU München, Jyväskylä, MPQ, U Heidelberg