Int. Workshop "Shapes and Dynamics of Atomic Nuclei: Contemporary Aspects" ed. Nikolay Minkov, Heron Press, Sofia 2023

Partial dynamical symmetry and persistent vibrational structure in ^{110–116}Cd

<u>A. Leviatan¹ N. Gavrielov^{1,2}</u>

¹Racah Institute of Physics, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem 91904, Israel ²Center for Theoretical Physics, Sloane Physics Laboratory,

Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520-8120, USA

Abstract

The cadmium isotopes (Z = 48) since long have been considered as textbook examples of spherical-vibrator nuclei and U(5) dynamical symmetry. On the other hand, detailed studies, using complementary spectroscopic methods, have provided evidence for marked deviations from such a structural paradigm [1,2]. Two approaches have been proposed to address these unexpected findings. The first questions the spherical-vibrational character of the ^{110,112}Cd isotopes, replacing it with multiple coexistence of states with different deformed shapes in the same nucleus, a view qualitatively supported by a beyond-mean-field calculation with the Gogny D1S energy density functional [3,4]. A second approach is based on the recognition that the reported deviations from a spherical-vibrator behavior show up in selected states, while most states retain their vibrational character. In the terminology of symmetry, this implies that the symmetry in question is broken only in a subset of states, hence is partial [5]. Such a U(5) partial dynamical symmetry (PDS) approach was applied in Ref. [6] to describe the properties of ¹¹⁰Cd.

In the present contribution, we show that the empirical data in $^{110-116}$ Cd is consistent with a vibrational interpretation for the majority of low-lying normal states, weakly coupled with a single deformed band of intruder states. The observed deviations from this paradigm are properly treated by an Hamiltonian with U(5) PDS, applied now to a series of isotopes. This work was done in collaboration with J.E. García-Ramos (Huelva) and P. Van Isacker (GANIL).

References

- [1] P. E. Garrett, K. L. Green and J. L. Wood, Phys. Rev. C 78 (2008) 044307.
- [2] P. E. Garrett et al., Phys. Rev. C 86 (2012) 044304.
- [3] P. E. Garrett et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 (2019) 142502.
- [4] P. E. Garrett *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C* **101** (2020) 044302.
- [5] A. Leviatan, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 66 (2011) 93.
- [6] A. Leviatan, N. Gavrielov, J. E. García-Ramos, and P. Van Isacker, *Phys. Rev. C* 98 (2018) 031302(R).
- [7] N. Gavrielov, J. E. García-Ramos, and P. Van Isacker and A. Leviatan, submitted for publication (2023).