Doubly Magic N = Z Nuclei near the rp-Process Path Unravelled in Deformed Space

M.M. Sharma

Physics Department, Kuwait University, Sabah Al-Salem University City, Kuwait

In rapid-proton-capture rp-process in x-ray bursters, N = Z nuclei above Z = 32 play an important role in producing a part of x-ray flux. Measurements of masses in the region of Z = 34-40 have shown that N = Z nuclei act as waiting-points in the chain of rp-process, thus delaying it. These nuclei give rise to a large-energy x-ray flux and produce peaks in abundances in the rp-process [1]. Structure of these nuclei is understood poorly. Experimental isotope shifts [2] show that the proton drip-line N = Z nucleus ⁷²Kr is compact against the conventional wisdom.

RMF theory was the first successful theory to describe the anomalous kink in isotope shifts in Pb nuclei [3], that led to the modification of the spin-orbit potential in density dependent Skyrme functionals [4]. Within the framework of the RMF theory, we have investigated the isotope shifts in Kr nuclei near the *rp*-process path employing the deformed relativistic Hartree Bogoliubov (DRHB) approach. Using the RMF Lagrangian model NL-SV1 [5], we show that the contraction in the charge radius of ⁷²Kr is produced by the double shell closure at N = Z = 36 in the deformed single-particle space. On investigating the shell structure of other N = Z rp-process nuclei, we find that the waiting-point nuclei ⁶⁸Se, ⁷²Kr, ⁷⁶Sr and ⁸⁰Zr exhibit shell closures both in proton and neutron numbers in the deformed space. This lends a double magicity to these nuclides. The major *rp*-process waiting-point nuclei thus possess a magic character similar to that exhibited by major *r*-process waiting-point nuclei in the neutron-rich region.

The double magicity supports the waiting-point character of these nuclei with consequences on the *rp*-process x-ray flux, beta-decay rates and *rp*-process abundances. Experimental evidence in support of this will be discussed.

References

- [1] H. Schatz et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 3471.
- [2] M. Keim et al., Nucl. Phys. A 586 (1995) 219.
- [3] M.M. Sharma, G.A. Lalazissis, P. Ring, Phys. Lett. B 317 (1993) 9.
- [4] M.M. Sharma et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 3744.
- [5] M.M. Sharma, A.R. Farhan, S. Mythili, Phys. Rev. C 61 (2000) 054306.